



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/069,877	06/11/2002	Gerd Ehnert	306.4124 X00	5659

20457 7590 04/08/2004

ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP
1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET
SUITE 1800
ARLINGTON, VA 22209-9889

EXAMINER

DIXON, MERRICK L

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1774

DATE MAILED: 04/08/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****U.S. Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. ^{AS}
---------------------------------	-------------	---	-----------------------------------

EXAMINER

ART UNIT	PAPER
----------	-------

32004

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

The examiner has studied Applicants' remarks, filed 1-5-2004, requesting a restart and remail of the application's office action, mailed 12-22-2003. The examiner will deny such request for the following reasons.

- 1) Applicants admit that claim 26, the claim at issue, was disclosed on the PTO-326 as being rejected;
- 2) Applicants further argue that same claim is not mentioned in any of sections 16 or 17 of the office action. However, this is not the case. In section 16, lines 14-15, the office action indeed rejects claim 26. It is noted that claim 26, a use claim, depends from claim 25, also rejected in section 16 of the office action;
- 3) Thus it appears that claim 26 is indeed rejected by the office action. The omission of said claim in the opening sentence of section 16, is an obvious typographic error.

The claims, as set forth, are all rejected for reasons articulated in the office action of 12-22-2003. The Examiner therefore, will have to deny Applicants' requests..

Merrick Dixon
Primary Examiner
Art Unit: 1774